



ACN 084 452 666

Case Report

Case Number 1 0006/16 2 Advertiser **Liquor Alliance (VIC)** 3 **Product** Alcohol 4 Radio **Type of Advertisement / media** 5 **Date of Determination** 10/02/2016 **DETERMINATION Upheld - Modified or Discontinued**

ISSUES RAISED

2.6 - Health and Safety Within prevailing Community Standards

DESCRIPTION OF THE ADVERTISEMENT

This radio advertisement features a female voiceover which says, "They say there's no such thing as a free lunch. Not true. Because right now, at Thirsty Camel, we're giving away a gourmet super model's lunch. That is, a refreshingly free bottle of water."

THE COMPLAINT

A sample of comments which the complainant/s made regarding this advertisement included the following:

I feel that this radio ad makes light of disordered eating and the modelling industry. It stated that purchasing this product will get you "a supermodel's lunch" which according to the ad was "a bottle of water".

Disordered eating should not be prompted, mocked or taken lightly, it deeply affects many peoples life.

The water is advertised as a super model's lunch which is derogatory and offensive to the modelling industry. It portrays models in a bad light and demeans their intelligence. A lot of super models work extremely hard to maintain a high standard of fitness and nutrition and can be positive role models to young women in leading a healthy life. The ad perpetuates negative views of the modelling industry which are no longer representative of the majority of super models. This ad is a form of ridicule and promotes body shaming. I respectfully ask that you review and remove this ad from the radio.

THE ADVERTISER'S RESPONSE

Comments which the advertiser made in response to the complainant/s regarding this advertisement include the following:

Thank you for giving Thirsty Camel bottleshops the opportunity to respond to the ASB complaint case 0006/16 relating to the "Free Water" radio advert running on Nova FM in December 2015 and January 2016.

The purpose of this advert was to communicate a promotion giving away 40,000 bottles of free Thirsty Water at Thirsty Camel bottleshops in Victoria.

The complaint details "The water is advertised as a super model's lunch which is derogatory and offensive to the modelling industry. It portrays models in a bad light and demeans their intelligence. A lot of super models work extremely hard to maintain a high standard of fitness and nutrition and can be positive role models to young women in leading a healthy life. The ad perpetuates negative views of the modelling industry which are no longer representative of the majority of super models. This ad is a form of ridicule and promotes body shaming".

The complaint raises issues under Section 2 of the AANA Advertiser Code of Ethics, including

- 2.1 Discrimination or vilification
- 2.2 Exploitative and degrading
- 2.3 Violence
- 2.4 Sex, sexuality and nudity
- 2.5 Language
- 2.6 Health and Safety

In our opinion this radio advert does not breach any of the above sections of the code.

Two of Thirsty Camel's core brand values are irreverence and fun, and these are reflected in our advertising. The advert in question uses satire to reference dated stereotypes about supermodels; however at no point in time do we use language that is discriminatory, exploitive, degrading or demeaning as suggested by the complaint.

Thirsty Camel takes the responsible promotion and consumption of alcohol, and health and safety within the community very seriously. Like any satire, our communication may be taken out of context and if this is the case, please accept our sincere apologies for any offence that we may have caused.

We thank you for considering our response and if there are any further questions or clarification required, please do not hesitate to contact me.

THE DETERMINATION

The Advertising Standards Board ("Board") considered whether this advertisement breaches Section 2 of the Advertiser Code of Ethics (the "Code").

The Board noted the complainants' concern that the advertisement makes light of eating disorders with its reference to water being a supermodel's lunch and is derogatory and offensive to supermodels.

The Board reviewed the advertisement and noted the advertiser's response.

The Board considered whether the advertisement complied with Section 2.1 of the Code which requires that 'advertisements shall not portray or depict material in a way which discriminates against or vilifies a person or section of the community on account of race, ethnicity, nationality, gender, age, sexual preference, religion, disability, mental illness or political belief.'

The Board noted that this radio advertisement features a promotion for a free gourmet super model's lunch: a bottle of water.

The Board noted the reference to water being a supermodel's lunch and considered that the association between models and starvation is a stereotype. The Board noted that whilst there is still a level of community concern over the health of models, in the Board's view most reasonable members of the community would interpret this comment as a light-hearted comment which is not intended to imply that all supermodels, male and female, could or should starve themselves. The Board considered that the advertisement did not present models in a negative manner and the use of humour means that the stereotype is not presented in a negative manner. Overall the Board considered that the advertisement did not discriminate or vilify against a person or group of people on account of their gender or occupation.

The Board determined that the advertisement did not breach Section 2.1 of the Code.

The Board considered Section 2.6 of the Code. Section 2.6 of the Code states: "Advertising or Marketing Communications shall not depict material contrary to Prevailing Community Standards on health and safety".

The Board noted the complainants' concerns that the advertisement makes light of eating disorders. The Board noted that there is a level of community concern over the portrayal of models and the prevalence of eating disorders within that industry. The Board noted the placement of the advertisement on the radio and considered that whilst children would not be the target audience it was likely that they would hear the advertisement.

A minority of the Board noted that the association between drinking water for lunch and being a model is an out-dated notion and considered that most members of the community would find the content of the advertisement to be a tongue-in-cheek reference which does not encourage or condone eating disorders.

Following considerable discussion however, the majority of the Board noted that there is a level of community concern around body image and eating disorders, especially with young women. The Board noted that supermodels are often seen as inspirational to young women and considered that by promoting water as a supermodel's lunch, even in a humorous way, the advertisement is validating the myth that models survive on water and little food. The majority of the Board noted the factual manner in which the voiceover references a supermodel's lunch twice in a short period of time and considered that the overall message implies that it is okay for models to replace food with water and therefore if you want to be a model then this is acceptable behaviour.

Based on the above the Board considered that the advertisement did depict material contrary to Prevailing Community Standards on healthy eating and body image.

The Board determined that the advertisement did breach Section 2.6 of the Code.

Finding that the advertisement did breach Section 2.6 of the Code, the Board upheld the complaints.

THE ADVERTISER'S RESPONSE TO DETERMINATION

Please note the campaign finished on 18 January 2016 and the advert will not be used again.