

Ad Standards Community Panel PO Box 5110, Braddon ACT 2612 P (02) 6173 1500 | F (02) 6262 9833

AdStandards.com.au

Ad Standards Limited ACN 084 452 666

# **Case Report**

1. Case Number: 0179-22

2. Advertiser : Bras n' Things

3. Product : Lingerie 4. Type of Advertisement/Media : Poster

5. Date of Determination 24-Aug-2022 6. DETERMINATION: Dismissed

#### **ISSUES RAISED**

AANA Code of Ethics\2.2 Exploitative or Degrading AANA Code of Ethics\2.4 Sex/sexuality/nudity

#### **DESCRIPTION OF ADVERTISEMENT**

This poster advertisement features 4 women from the neck down. All are wearing shirts, and various styles of underpants including g-string and brazilian cut.

#### THE COMPLAINT

Comments which the complainant/s made regarding this advertisement included the following:

Nudity in a public setting. IT IS ON THE WAY TO THE SHOPPING CENTRE PLAYGROUND, where any and all CHILDREN heading that way are being exposed to nudity.

As a woman I can verify that everyone I speak to continually complains about explicit advertising on lingerie shop front windows . As long as it has been and that is several years of explicit displays it has never been so explicit to the point where children and families had no say in what is projected in front of them . I can only state this offensive behaviour of advertising companies have a lot to answer for in the exploitation of women . Surely there has be be some accountability and consequences for this type of offensive advertising





I am objecting to this kind of advertising in a shopping centre as nudity is illegal. I could not walk around the store in a G String, so why is this being allowed in view of everyone. I also find this offensive to women and young girls as it sends the message to young girls that it is ok to parade your naked bottom to everyone, whether that be in a picture or in the form of a video. This sort of advertising is not teaching social responsibility to young girls. Quote: "Images that feature very high-cut or low-cut briefs or G-string should take steps to avoid bringing attention to the nudity." Taken from Ad Standards article on Mumbrella Sept 2019. The poster in the shop window did not show the top half of the girls, it just showed their bottoms.

Next to Woolworths (small regional mall), seating area for elderly and kids ride-on toys. Advertising g-strings with 2 out of 3 models showing almost everything.

This type of nudity is inappropriate for a family environment. My 11 year old son has frequently mentioned how this shops advertising makes him uncomfortable but this one is a whole level worse than normal.

#### THE ADVERTISER'S RESPONSE

Comments which the advertiser made in response to the complainant/s regarding this advertisement include the following:

Advertiser did not provide a response.

#### THE DETERMINATION

The Ad Standards Community Panel (the Panel) considered whether this advertisement breaches Section 2 of the AANA Code of Ethics (the Code).

The Panel noted the complainants' concerns that the advertisement:

- Is objectifying of women
- Is overtly sexual and features nudity
- Is inappropriate for children to view

The Panel viewed the advertisement and noted the advertiser did not respond.

Section 2.2: Advertising should not employ sexual appeal in a manner which is exploitative or degrading of any individual or group of people.

The Panel noted the AANA Practice Note which provides guidance on the meaning of the terms exploitative and degrading:

Exploitative - (a) taking advantage of the sexual appeal of a person, or group of people, by depicting them as objects or commodities; or (b) focusing on their body parts where this bears no direct relevance to the product or service being advertised.



Degrading – lowering in character or quality a person or group of people.

### Does the advertisement use sexual appeal?

The Panel noted that this advertisement features women in lingerie with parts of their buttocks visible. The Panel considered that the advertisement did contain sexual appeal.

# Does the advertisement use sexual appeal in a manner that is exploitative?

The Panel noted that the advertisement was for lingerie available at Bras N Things and considered that it was reasonable for the women to be depicted wearing the products in the advertisement. The Panel considered that while there was a focus on the women's buttocks, this was relevant to the product of various underpants cuts being promoted.

The Panel considered that there was a focus on the women's bodies in the advertisement, however considered that the women are depicted in confident manner and not in a manner suggesting that they were submissive or an object to be used. The Panel considered that the overall impression of the advertisement is that the women have chosen to wear the lingerie and feel comfortable and confident in posing in it.

The Panel considered that the advertisement did not employ sexual appeal in a manner which is exploitative of women.

## Does the advertisement use sexual appeal in a manner that is degrading?

The Panel considered that the depiction of the woman was relevant to the promotion of lingerie and the products available for purchase at Bras N Things and this in itself did not lower the women in character or quality.

The Panel considered that the advertisement did not employ sexual appeal in a manner which is degrading to women.

#### Section 2.2 conclusion

Finding that the advertisement did not employ sexual appeal in a manner which is exploitative or degrading of an individual or group of people, the Panel determined that the advertisement did not breach Section 2.2 of the Code.

# Section 2.4: Advertising shall treat sex, sexuality and nudity with sensitivity to the relevant audience.

The Panel noted the Practice Note for the Code states:



"Images which are not permitted are those which are highly sexually suggestive and inappropriate for the relevant audience. Explicit sexual depictions in marcomms, particularly where the depiction is not relevant to the product or service being advertised, are generally objectionable to the community and will offend Prevailing Community Standards."

#### Does the advertisement contain sex?

The Panel considered whether the advertisement contained sex. The Panel noted the dictionary definition of sex most relevant to this section of the Code of Ethics is 'sexual intercourse; sexually stimulating or suggestive behaviour.' (Macquarie Dictionary 2006).

The Panel considered that the women are not engaged in sexual behaviour. The Panel considered that the advertisement did not contain sex.

### Does the advertisement contain sexuality?

The Panel noted the definition of sexuality includes 'sexual character, the physical fact of being either male or female; The state or fact of being heterosexual, homosexual or bisexual; sexual preference or orientation; one's capacity to experience and express sexual desire; the recognition or emphasising of sexual matters'. The Panel noted that the use of male or female actors in an advertisement is not by itself a depiction of sexuality.

The Panel considered that the advertisement is promoting lingerie and parts of the women's buttocks are visible. The Panel considered that the advertisement did depict sexuality.

#### Does the advertisement contain nudity?

The Panel noted that the dictionary definition of nudity includes 'something nude or naked', and that nude and naked are defined to be 'unclothed and includes something 'without clothing or covering'.

The Panel noted that the women in the advertisement are depicted in lingerie, and considered that this is a depiction of partial nudity.

# Are the issues of sexuality and nudity treated with sensitivity to the relevant audience?

The Panel considered the meaning of 'sensitive' and noted that the definition of sensitive in this context can be explained as indicating that 'if you are sensitive to other people's needs, problems, or feelings, you show understanding and awareness of them.' (https://www.collinsdictionary.com/dictionary/english/sensitive).



The Panel considered that the requirement to consider whether sexual suggestion is 'sensitive to the relevant audience' requires them to consider who the relevant audience is and to have an understanding of how they might react to or feel about the advertisement.

The Panel noted that this advertisement appears in store windows and considered that the relevant audience includes retail workers, people shopping in the Bras N Things store and people who are not shopping at Bras N Things but who are walking past the store, and that this last group would include children.

The Panel considered that the women are not depicted in a particularly sexualised manner, and that most children viewing the advertisement would simply view an advertisement showing underwear and buttocks and would not consider it to be sexualised.

The Panel considered that the women are wearing shirts on their upper bodies, and their buttocks are shown from the side. The Panel considered that the advertisement did not contain a high level of nudity.

Overall the Panel considered that the advertisement was moderately sexualised, but that the advertisement did treat the issue of sexuality with sensitivity to the relevant audience.

# **Section 2.4 Conclusion**

The Panel determined the advertisement did treat sex, sexuality and nudity with sensitivity to the relevant broad audience and did not breach Section 2.4 of the Code.

#### Conclusion

Finding that the advertisement did not breach any other section of the Code, the Panel dismissed the complaints.