



Ad Standards Community Panel
PO Box 5110, Braddon ACT 2612
P (02) 6173 1500 | F (02) 6262 9833

AdStandards.com.au

Ad Standards Limited
ACN 084 452 666

Case Report

1. Case Number :	0262-21
2. Advertiser :	Hey Bud Skincare
3. Product :	Health Products
4. Type of Advertisement/Media :	Internet - Social - Instagram
5. Date of Determination	22-Sep-2021
6. DETERMINATION :	Upheld – Modified or Discontinued

ISSUES RAISED

AANA Code of Ethics\2.7 Distinguishable advertising

DESCRIPTION OF ADVERTISEMENT

This Instagram post on the @dibasabeti account features three images of a woman wearing a facemask with the caption, "Loving this cream. Use the code "dibasabeti for a discount ;)" The @heybudskincare account is tagged in the first image.

THE COMPLAINT

Comments which the complainant/s made regarding this advertisement included the following:

There was no indication that the post was an advertisement or paid. A promotional message was put in the caption with a discount code. There was no #ad or #sponsored

THE ADVERTISER'S RESPONSE

Comments which the advertiser made in response to the complainant/s regarding this advertisement include the following:

Advertiser did not provide a response.



THE DETERMINATION

The Ad Standards Community Panel (the Panel) considered whether this advertisement breaches Section 2 of the AANA Code of Ethics (the Code).

The Panel noted the complainant's concern that the Instagram post did not disclose that it was sponsored.

The Panel viewed the advertisement and noted the advertiser had not provided a response.

Section 2.7: Advertising or Marketing Communication shall be clearly distinguishable as such.

Is the material advertising?

The Panel noted that it must consider two matters:
Does the material constitute an 'advertising or marketing communication', and if so is the advertising material clearly distinguishable as such?

Does the material constitute an 'advertising or marketing communication'?

The Panel noted the definition of advertising in the Code. Advertising means: "any advertising, marketing communication or material which is published or broadcast using any Medium or any activity which is undertaken by, or on behalf of an advertiser or marketer,

- over which the advertiser or marketer has a reasonable degree of control, and
- that draws the attention of the public in a manner calculated to promote or oppose directly or indirectly a product, service, person, organisation or line of conduct".

The Panel considered that the placement of the product, highlighting the product and the inclusion of a discount code specific to the influencer did amount to material which would draw the attention of the public in a manner designed to promote the brand.

With regards to whether the advertiser or marketer has a reasonable degree of control, the Panel noted that the advertiser had not provided a response. The Panel therefore was unable to confirm whether the advertiser had arranged for the Instagram post. However, the Panel proceeded on the presumption that the Instagram post was authorised by the advertiser, on the basis that a discount code specific to the influencer was included which would have been provided by the brand.

For these reasons, the Panel considered that the Instagram stories did meet the definition of advertising in the Code.

Is the material clearly distinguishable as such?



The Panel noted the Practice Note for the Code states:

“Influencer and affiliate marketing often appears alongside organic/genuine user generated content and is often less obvious to the audience. Where an influencer or affiliate accepts payment of money or free products or services from a brand in exchange for them to promote that brand’s products or services, the relationship must be clear, obvious and upfront to the audience and expressed in a way that is easily understood (e.g. #ad, Advert, Advertising, Branded Content, Paid Partnership, Paid Promotion). Less clear labels such as #sp, Spon, gifted, Affiliate, Collab, thanks to... or merely mentioning the brand name may not be sufficient to clearly distinguish the post as advertising.”

The Panel noted that the post was captioned a discount code (dibasabeti) and that the brand was tagged in the image.

The Panel considered that while it may be clear to some people viewing the material that this was an advertisement, the stories could also be interpreted as an organic product promotion. The Panel considered that there was nothing in the wording or pictures of the material which identified the nature of the relationship between the influencer and brand.

The Panel considered that tagging the brand, including a discount code and featuring the product was not sufficient to satisfy the Code’s requirements and that the Instagram stories were not clearly distinguishable as advertising.

2.7 conclusion

In the Panel’s view the advertisement was not clearly distinguishable as such and did breach Section 2.7 of the Code.

Conclusion

Finding that the advertisement breached Section 2.7 of the Code, the Panel upheld the complaint.

THE ADVERTISER’S RESPONSE TO DETERMINATION

As soon as we received the complaint, we had the photo adjusted, as per provided image. It now says paid partnership and #ad.

We requested this change to be made on the 14th of September 2021.