

Ad Standards Community Panel PO Box 5110, Braddon ACT 2612 P (02) 6173 1500 | F (02) 6262 9833

AdStandards.com.au

Ad Standards Limited ACN 084 452 666

Case Report

1. Case Number: 0330-21

2. Advertiser: Honey Birdette

3. Product : Lingerie

4. Type of Advertisement/Media: TV - Out of Home 5. Date of Determination 24-Nov-2021

6. DETERMINATION: Upheld – Not Modified or Discontinued

ISSUES RAISED

AANA Code of Ethics\2.2 Exploitative or Degrading AANA Code of Ethics\2.4 Sex/sexuality/nudity

DESCRIPTION OF ADVERTISEMENT

This advertisement on a TV in the front window of a store features a still image of two women in pink strappy lingerie. One of the women is pictured from behind with most of her buttocks and gluteal cleft visible through the lingerie.

THE COMPLAINT

A sample of comments which the complainant/s made regarding this advertisement included the following:

Bdsm-"kink" porn themed ads, displayed on a digital screen in Playboy Group owned brand Honey Birdette shop windows, Forrest Chase, Perth CBD, November 1. All are from a campaign called 'So kinky". All are objectifying and degrading of women and entirely inappropriate for display in the public space which belongs to everybody - not to this Playboy owned sex shop. Note the scale of the size of these ads, with reference to the life-size mannequin to the right of screen. No corporate has the right to expose a non-consenting audience which includes children to its pornographic ads. This is a demonstration of corporate paedophilia, corporate grooming and corporate sexual harassment.

THE ADVERTISER'S RESPONSE





Comments which the advertiser made in response to the complainant/s regarding this advertisement include the following:

Advertiser did not provide a response.

THE DETERMINATION

The Ad Standards Community Panel (the Panel) considered whether this advertisement breaches Section 2 of the AANA Code of Ethics (the Code).

The Panel noted the complainant's concerns that the advertisement:

- is objectifying of women and degrading to women
- is inappropriate for display in a public space.

The Panel viewed the advertisement and noted the advertiser's response.

Section 2.2: Advertising should not employ sexual appeal in a manner which is exploitative or degrading of any individual or group of people.

The Panel noted the AANA Practice Note which provides guidance on the meaning of the terms exploitative and degrading:

Exploitative - (a) taking advantage of the sexual appeal of a person, or group of people, by depicting them as objects or commodities; or (b) focussing on their body parts where this bears no direct relevance to the product or service being advertised. Degrading – lowering in character or quality a person or group of people.

Does the advertisement use sexual appeal?

The Panel noted that the advertisement depicts two women in lingerie. The Panel considered that this image does contain sexual appeal.

Does the advertisement use sexual appeal in a manner that is exploitative?

The Panel noted that the advertisement is for lingerie available at Honey Birdette and considered that it is reasonable for the women to be depicted wearing the products in the advertisement.

The Panel considered that there is a focus on the buttocks and gluteal cleft of the woman in the foreground, however this focus is relevant to the detail of the lingerie product being advertised.

The Panel noted that the woman on the left is wearing a collar with a chain attached, however the chain is hanging loose and is not the focus of the image. The Panel considered that there is no suggestion that anyone else is in control of the chain or that the woman is being used as a sexual object.



The Panel considered that both women are depicted as happy, confident and in control, and the women are not depicted as objects or commodities.

The Panel considered that the advertisement does not employ sexual appeal in a manner which is exploitative of the women.

Does the advertisement use sexual appeal in a manner that is degrading?

The Panel considered that the depiction of the women is relevant to the promotion of lingerie and the products available for purchase at Honey Birdette and this in itself does not lower the women in character or quality.

The Panel considered that both women are depicted as happy, confident and in control. The Panel considered that the focus of the advertisement is on the detail of the lingerie being promoted, and that the advertisement does not lower the women in character or quality.

The Panel considered that the advertisement does not employ sexual appeal in a manner which is degrading to the blindfolded woman.

Section 2.2 conclusion

Finding that the advertisement did not employ sexual appeal in a manner which is exploitative and degrading of an individual or group of people, the Panel determined that the advertisement did not breach Section 2.2 of the Code.

Section 2.4: Advertising shall treat sex, sexuality and nudity with sensitivity to the relevant audience.

The Panel noted the Practice Note for the Code states:

"Overtly sexual images are not appropriate in outdoor advertising or shop front windows.

"Although not exhaustive, the following may be considered to be overtly sexual:

- Poses suggestive of sexual position: parting of legs, hand placed on or near genitals in a manner which draws attention to the region;
- People depicted in sheer lingerie or clothing where a large amount of buttocks, female breasts, pubic mound or genital regions can be seen; The use of paraphernalia such as whips and handcuffs, particularly in combination with images of people in lingerie, undressed or in poses suggestive of sexual position;
- Suggestive undressing, such as pulling down a bra strap or underpants; or
- Interaction between two or more people which is highly suggestive of sexualised activity.

"Discreet portrayal of nudity and sexuality in an appropriate context (eg advertisements for toiletries and underwear) is generally permitted but note the



application of the relevant audience. More care should be taken in outdoor media than magazines, for example.

"Images of models in bikinis or underwear are permitted, however, unacceptable images could include those where a model is in a suggestively sexual pose, where underwear is being pulled up or down (by the model or another person), or where there is clear sexual innuendo from the ad (e.g. depicting women as sexual objects)."

Does the advertisement contain sex?

The Panel considered whether the advertisement contained sex. The Panel noted the definition of sex in the Practice Note is "sexual intercourse; person or persons engaged in sexually stimulating behaviour".

The Panel considered that the women are not engaging in sexual activity. The Panel considered that the advertisement does not contain sex.

Does the advertisement contain sexuality?

The Panel noted the definition of sexuality in the Practice Note is "the capacity to experience and express sexual desire; the recognition or emphasis of sexual matters".

The Panel considered that the women are wearing sexualised and revealing lingerie and there is a sexual element to the advertisement.

Does the advertisement contain nudity?

The Panel noted that the definition of nudity in the Practice Note is "the depiction of a person without clothing or covering; partial or suggested nudity may also be considered nudity".

The Panel noted that the women in the advertisement are depicted in lingerie, and considered that this is a depiction of partial nudity.

Are the issues of sexuality and nudity treated with sensitivity to the relevant audience?

The Panel noted that the definition of sensitivity in the Practice Note is "understanding and awareness to the needs and emotions of others".

The Panel considered that the requirement to consider whether sexual suggestion is 'sensitive to the relevant audience' requires them to consider who the relevant audience is and to have an understanding of how they might react to or feel about the advertisement.

The Panel noted that this image appears in store windows and considered that the relevant audience includes retail workers, people shopping in the Honey Birdette



store and people who are not shopping at Honey Birdette but who are walking past the store, and that this last group would include children.

The Panel noted that the woman on the right, in the foreground of the image is wearing strappy underpants with most of her buttocks and gluteal cleft visible. The Panel considered that the lingerie is sexualised in design and that there is a more sexualised feel to the advertisement due to the framing of the image and the focus on her buttocks.

The Panel considered that there is a high level of nudity in the image and that the image is overtly sexualised. The Panel determined that the image is not appropriate for the relevant broad audience which would likely include children.

Section 2.4 Conclusion

The Panel determined the advertisement did not treat sex, sexuality and nudity with sensitivity to the relevant audience and did breach Section 2.4 of the Code.

Conclusion

Finding that the advertisement did breach Section 2.4 of the Code, the Panel upheld the complaint.

THE ADVERTISER'S RESPONSE TO DETERMINATION

The advertiser has not provided a response to the Panel's determination. Ad Standards will continue to work with the relevant authorities regarding this issue of non-compliance.