

Level 2, 97 Northbourne Avenue, Turner ACT 2612 Ph: (02) 6173 1500 | Fax: (02) 6262 9833 www.adstandards.com.au

Case Report

0403/12

Pav TV

24/10/2012

Dismissed

Leisure and Sport

BCF

- 1 Case Number
- 2 Advertiser
- 3 Product
- 4 Type of Advertisement / media
- **5** Date of Determination
- 6 **DETERMINATION**

ISSUES RAISED

2.1 - Discrimination or Vilification Sexual preference

DESCRIPTION OF THE ADVERTISEMENT

Two men are fishing with a bait/tackle box between them. They both reach for the bait at the same time and touch hands. They look uncomfortable for a few seconds and ignore one another, then one asks the other how good the footy was last night.

THE COMPLAINT

A sample of comments which the complainant/s made regarding this advertisement included the following:

I found the ad displayed blatant homophobia. The men react to touching hands like it is an uncomfortable thing. They are embarrassed about touching. It seems to imply that men touching hands is homosexual behaviour, and by design undesirable and shameful. Furthermore, by extension it seems that fishing is displayed as something that only straight men can do, and not open to gay men. I waited thinking that a tag line such as "Don't grab your mate's tackle, buy your own" or such would make the ad inclusive of gay men and therefore humorous, but unfortunately it didn't, leaving the ad as a throwback to a now outdated societal view of homosexuality as something undesirable, or worse, forbidden. As a gay man, I found the ad offensive and exclusive. Having many straight friends who are involved in stereotypical 'straight men' behaviour like football and fishing and who are open and supportive of gay men such as myself, I feel they too would be offended to be represented as homophobic.

THE ADVERTISER'S RESPONSE

Comments which the advertiser made in response to the complainant/s regarding this advertisement include the following:

BCF have been running the 'This is Living' campaign for over four years in an array of mediums, including Television, Radio, Print and Online. The strategic position of the campaign has always been intended, given the nature of the business, to encourage people from all walks of life that 'life is better in the outdoors'. Past times such as boating, camping and fishing, as depicted by the campaign as a whole, are suggested to be healthy outdoor pursuits that promote healthy work life balance. Such pursuits are constructive, wholesome activities that are available to all members of the community and are believed to genuinely have a positive lifestyle influence.

The intention of the advertisement is merely to portray a lifestyle and in no way is there any reference to a distinct or specific portion of the community that discriminates on the basis of sexual preference, as suggested by the complainant. (Refer section 2.1 of the AANA code of Ethics).

The campaign utilises humor to create the theatre of the advertisement depicted in both scripting and creative execution. This element of humor is not suggested to immunise an advertisement from standards however is suggested to impact the overall impression that an advertisement would have upon a viewer.

In regard to the specific moment whereby the two men touch hands is suggested to be typical of a situation that could create an awkward moment between any two individuals regardless of sexual orientation and whether the interaction was between a male and female, or male and male. It is suggested that the touching of hands is typically an awkward moment in life for any two people outside of an intimate relationship and the humor attempts to create a resolution from the prior awkwardness by stating 'how good was the footy last night'.

The complainant refers to 'don't grab your mates tackle' but at no stage was this directly or indirectly communicated as the humour relates to the touching of hands when they thought they were touching a Pilchard bait which is has some resemblance to the texture of a human finger.

BCF Australia regrets any offence taken by the complainant pertaining to this advertisement however suggests that the advertisement is inline within the AANA code of ethics as it is expected that the campaign is inline with community standards that a reasonable person would not infer any reference to discriminate on the basis of sexual orientations.

THE DETERMINATION

The Advertising Standards Board ("Board") considered whether this advertisement breaches Section 2 of the Advertiser Code of Ethics (the "Code").

The Board noted the complainant's concerns that the advertisement is homophobic in its suggestion that two men touching hands is something shameful.

The Board viewed the advertisement and noted the advertiser's response.

The Board considered whether the advertisement complied with Section 2.1 of the Code which requires that 'advertisements shall not portray or depict material in a way which discriminates against or vilifies a person or section of the community on account of race, ethnicity, nationality, gender, age, sexual preference, religion, disability, mental illness or political belief.'

The Board noted that the advertisement features two men accidently touching hands when they both reach for the fishing bait at the same time and that they are subsequently shown to be uncomfortable about the incident.

The Board considered that the portrayal of the men touching hands and appearing uncomfortable is presented in a manner which is light hearted and intended to humorously replicate a realistic scenario. The Board considered that although the men are shown to be a bit uncomfortable about touching one another's hands, in the Board's view most members of the community would agree that the portrayal is intended to reflect the reaction of two heterosexual men touching each other's hands and that it does not make any suggestion that homosexuality is wrong or shameful.

The Board noted the complainant's concern that the advertisement suggests that fishing is an activity only straight men can do. The Board noted that there is no suggestion in the advertisement as to the preferred sexual orientation of men who fish and considered that this is an interpretation of the advertisement unlikely to be shared by the broader community.

A minority of the Board considered that the advertisement depicted a stereotype of heterosexual men's negative attitude toward homosexuality and is therefore homophobic. However in the view of the majority of the Board, the advertisement was a depiction of two men who are uncomfortable about accidentally grasping eachothers hands and who react in a stereotypical manner by breaking an awkward silence with a reference to the football.

The Board considered that this could be interpreted in a number of ways but was not likely to be taken as a negative depiction of gay men, or homosexuality, or of heterosexual men.

Based on the above the Board determined that, in this instance, that the advertisement did not depict any material that discriminated against or vilified any person or section of society. The Board determined that the advertisement did not breach Section 2.1 of the Code.

Finding that the advertisement did not breach the Code on other grounds, the Board dismissed the complaint.