



ACN 084 452 666

Case Report

Case Number 1 0424/15 2 Advertiser **Metropolitan Motorcycle Spares** 3 **Product Automotive** 4 **Print Type of Advertisement / media** 5 **Date of Determination** 28/10/2015 **DETERMINATION Upheld - Not Modified or Discontinued**

ISSUES RAISED

- 2.2 Objectification Exploitative and degrading women
- 2.4 Sex/sexuality/nudity S/S/N general

DESCRIPTION OF THE ADVERTISEMENT

Print ad - cycle torque: It is an ad advertising a motorcycle wreckers and the parts they sell. The main headline says bringing things back together for over 30 years. It depicts damaged motorcycle on the left and on the right 2 women in lingerie/swimsuit lying down horizontally embracing one on top of the other both looking seductively at the camera.

THE COMPLAINT

A sample of comments which the complainant/s made regarding this advertisement included the following:

It depicts women in sexual, objectified manner (degrading) and dressed/depicted in a manner that has no relevance to ad other than sex appeal. (exploitative).

I have complained to the print publication (cycle torque) before as they have had those ads and similar for over a year- they did not see an issue with it appearing in their print circulation and advised me to take my complaint to the business as they do not have control over images or contents of ads in their magazine.

THE ADVERTISER'S RESPONSE

Comments which the advertiser made in response to the complainant/s regarding this advertisement include the following:

Advertiser did not provide a response to this matter.

THE DETERMINATION

The Advertising Standards Board ("Board") considered whether this advertisement breaches Section 2 of the Advertiser Code of Ethics (the "Code").

The Board noted the complainant's concerns that the advertisement objectifies women and features inappropriate imagery that is not relevant to the product.

The Board viewed the advertisement and noted the advertiser did not provide a response. The Board considered whether the advertisement was in breach of Section 2.2 of the Code. Section 2.2 of the Code states: "Advertising or marketing communications should not employ sexual appeal in a manner which is exploitative and degrading of any individual or group of people."

The Board noted that in order to be in breach of this section of the Code the image would need to use sexual appeal in a manner that is both exploitative and degrading.

The Board noted that the advertisement featured an image of 2 women in intimate apparel lying horizontally with one woman on top of the other and both looking at the camera. The main headline reads: "bringing things back together for over 30 years." It shows a damaged motorcycle on the left and the details of the wreckers.

The Board noted that the advertisement was for services offered by the motorcycle wreckers. The Board noted that it had previously upheld a similar advertisement for the same advertiser (0316/13 and 0425/15).

In the case of 0316/13, the Board considered that:

"the image bore no relevance to the product and that the woman was presented purely as an object to be looked at by readers. The Board further considered that the woman was presented in a sexualised position almost naked and that the depiction of her holding her breasts increased the appearance of the advertisement as being demeaning and exploitative. The Board considered that the advertisement did employ sexual appeal in a manner that was exploitative and degrading to women and that it did breach Section 2.2 of the Code." Similar to the decision above, in the current case, the Board considered that the pose of the women on top of each other, both scantily clad and both wearing high heels did amount to an image that was sexualised and having no direct relevance to the product/service being promoted.

The Board noted that the expression on the face of the women was not one of joyfulness or happiness but that they appeared vacant and disempowered. The Board considered that the image portrayed by the women is that they appear to be captured or positioned gratuitously and only to draw attention to the advertisement. The Board considered that the advertisement depicted the woman as being available for exploitation and used the woman's sexual appeal to draw attention to the advertisement.

The Board considered that the advertisement did employ sexual appeal in a manner that was exploitative and degrading to women and that it did breach Section 2.2 of the Code.

The Board then considered whether the advertisement was in breach of Section 2.4 of the Code. Section 2.4 of the Code states: "Advertising or Marketing Communications shall treat sex, sexuality and nudity with sensitivity to the relevant audience".

The Board noted that the image appeared in a cycle torque magazine which is a free

publication, often available in motorcycle shops.

The Board noted that given the advertiser's non response, it did not have specific details regarding the demographic of people reading the magazine but considered that the people most likely to be viewing the publication are adults entering a motorcycle store for the purpose of purchasing or looking to purchase a motorbike or motorcycle parts or accessories. In the absence of formal confirmation, the Board agreed that the likely audience for this style of publication was adults interested in motorbikes and looking for a motorbike that a significant proportion of them would be male.

The Board noted that it had previously upheld a similar image for Kittens Car Wash that featured a woman in a reclined position in a bikini on the side of a vehicle (0504/10).

In that case, the Board noted that:

"the advertisement depicted a bikini clad woman lying down with her back arched and her head turned away from the viewer. In the Board's view this depiction is a sexually suggestive and sexualised image. The Board also considered that although the woman was not naked, she was clothed revealingly and a significant portion of her breast is visible.

The Board noted the advertisement is featured on the side of a car and expressed concern that the medium on which the advertisement appears is available for viewing by a broad audience. The Board considered that the image in the advertisement is sexualised and the size and repetition of the advertisement means that it is clearly available for viewing by a broad audience.

In the Board's view the overall impact of the advertisement is sexually suggestive and brings the issue of sex to all who see it, including children. The Board determined that the advertisement did not treat sex, sexuality and nudity with sensitivity to the relevant audience and therefore breaches section 2.3 of the Code."

The Board noted that in the current matter (0424/15) the women are not naked but their lingerie or swimwear is brief. The Board considered that the position of the woman lying on top of another woman was a sexualised image. The Board noted that the main heading "bringing things back together for over 30 years" had little relationship to the women being together and as a key heading was not of itself sexually suggestive.

The Board discussed specifically the issue of relevant audience. The Board considered that in contrast to the Kittens case mentioned above, the level of sexualisation and of nudity in the advertisement published in a targeted motorcycle magazine, was not inappropriate for the relevant audience who would most likely be adults.

Based on the limited circulation and the likely relevant audience of the magazine, the Board considered that the advertisement did not breach Section 2.4 of the Code.

Finding that the advertisement did breach Section 2.2 of the Code, the Board upheld the complaint.

THE ADVERTISER'S RESPONSE TO DETERMINATION

The advertiser has not provided a response to the Board's determination. The ASB will continue to work with the relevant authorities regarding this issue of non-compliance.