

Level 2, 97 Northbourne Avenue, Turner ACT 2612 Ph: (02) 6262 9822 | Fax: (02) 6262 9833 www.adstandards.com.au

## CASE REPORT

- Complaint reference number
  Advertiser
  Product
  Type of advertisement
  Nature of complaint
  Date of determination
  DETERMINATION
  309/01
  Geraldton Blinds & Curtins
  Housegoods/services
  TV
  Portrayal of sex/sexuality/nudity section 2.3 Health and safety – section 2.6
   Tuesday, 13 November 2001
- 7. DETERMINATION

## **DESCRIPTION OF THE ADVERTISEMENT**

This television advertisement opens on a night scene of a man looking through binoculars from behind some bushes. After cutting to an indoor scene where a woman is rubbing cream into her legs, the man is shown wiping perspiration from his face before again looking through the field glasses. The scene changes to a window through which a woman can be seen wearing a towel wrap. As she removes the wrap, a caption fills the screen reading: "NEED PRIVACY," and a voice-over says: "Need privacy?" A sequence of scenes featuring blinds and curtains follows, with the voice-over continuing: "For the best in privacy, protection against the elements, and the look of elegancy, see Geraldton Blinds and Curtains. No matter what kind of window fashions you're looking for, they've got it." The voice-over and a caption give the name, address and telephone number of the advertiser.

## THE COMPLAINT

Comments which the complainant made regarding this advertisement included the following:

'I really felt that this advertisement was saying that she should have window covers—I agree but it is also depicting her as an easy target for perverts...showing the vulnerability of women in prime time television was a bit much for me...'

## THE DETERMINATION

The Advertising Standards Board ('the Board') considered whether this advertisement breaches Section 2 of the Advertiser Code of Ethics ('the Code').

The Board determined that the advertisement did not contravene the Code in relation to the portrayal of sex/sexuality/nudity, and did not breach the health and safety provisions of the Code.

Accordingly, the Board dismissed the complaint.